Temperature-cycle microscopy reveals single-molecule conformational heterogeneity†
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Our previous temperature-cycle study reported FRET transitions between different states on FRET-labeled polyprolines [Yuan et al., PCCP, 2011, 13, 1762]. The conformational origin of such transitions, however, was left open. In this work, we apply temperature-cycle microscopy of single FRET-labeled polyproline and dsDNA molecules and compare their responses to resolve the conformational origin of different FRET states. We observe different steady-state FRET distributions and different temperature-cycle responses in the two samples. Our temperature-cycle results on single molecules resemble the results in steady-state measurements but reveal a dark state which could not be observed otherwise. By comparing the timescales and probabilities of different FRET states in temperature-cycle traces, we assign the conformational heterogeneity reflected by different FRET states to linker dynamics, dye–chain and dye–dye interactions. The dark state and low-FRET state are likely due to dye–dye interactions at short separations.

Introduction

Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) between FRET labels, which consist of one donor and one acceptor, proceeds via a dipole–dipole interaction. Thus, it is sensitive to distances of 2–10 nm between FRET labels and it is often referred to as a “spectroscopic ruler”.1–3 Changes in FRET efficiency reflect changes in conformation or in interactions.4–6 By suppressing ensemble averaging, single-molecule FRET has been broadly applied for probing molecular conformational changes and interactions in the past two decades.7–15 The FRET efficiency (E), which describes the fraction of energy transferred from the donor to the acceptor, can be calculated from the intrinsic donor fluorescence lifetime (τD0) and its lifetime (τDA) in the presence of the acceptor:

\[ E = 1 - \frac{\tau_{DA}}{\tau_{D0}} \]  

Alternatively, the FRET efficiency can also be calculated from the number of photons detected at the donor (nD) and acceptor (nA) wavelengths:7

\[ E = \frac{n_A/(\gamma n_D + n_A)}{n_A/(\gamma n_D + n_A)} \]
of a microscope. A schematic view of the temperature-cycle method is shown in Fig. 1. In previous work, we demonstrated temperature-cycle microscopy on FRET labeled all-trans polyprolines in glycerol. All-trans polyproline possesses a helix structure and is rather rigid. It was used as a model system to demonstrate FRET as a spectroscopic ruler. Embedded in supercooled glycerol, FRET-labeled short polyproline constructs showed broad distributions in FRET values. Furthermore, we observed reversible transitions between a high FRET value and a low FRET value on individual FRET constructs by applying temperature cycles between 170 K and 250 K. Such observations indicate conformational changes of the molecule. However, it proved difficult to determine the origin of the FRET transitions.

Besides the dynamics of the polyproline chain itself, many other factors may influence the FRET distribution or time trace, such as dye orientations, dynamics of linkers and dye photophysics. Among the above mentioned factors, linker dynamics has received much attention since it can significantly influence FRET experiments. For instance, long and flexible linkers may bring FRET dye pairs close to each other and allow dye–dye interaction to take place. Di Fiori et al. found that dye–dye interactions at short separations lead to multiple-step fluorescence time traces in single-molecule FRET measurements. However, such interactions also depend on the dye pairs used in experiments. Recent molecular dynamics simulations have indicated that dynamics of the dye and the linker may induce extra conformational heterogeneity and thus influence measured FRET. The dye molecules with their long and flexible linkers can get into contact with the polyproline chains and form transient interactions.

With the hope of unraveling the different factors which influence FRET, we adapted our temperature-cycle microscope to access dye orientations and fluorescence lifetimes by adding a polarization-dependent detection and a time-correlated single-photon counting (TCSPC) system. To access photon information with additional dimensions, better photon statistics are required. In temperature-cycle measurements, one can simply extend the measurement duration in each low-temperature period to collect as many photons as needed. However, this will reduce the maximum number of temperature-cycle measurements on single molecules before photo-bleaching. In addition, we improved the collection efficiency of the optical system in our cryostat by introducing a hemispherical solid immersion lens (SIL). At room temperature, the numerical aperture (NA) of the optical system can be increased by liquid-immersion techniques. However, liquid immersion can hardly be applied in a low-temperature microscope due to freezing of immersion liquids. Instead, we can use a small hemispherical solid immersion lens (SIL) to ensure optical contact with the glycerol thin film, while keeping the distance between the SIL and the objective adjustable. When the incident light is focused through the hemispherical solid immersion lens (SIL) at its center, the light wavefront will not be distorted by the air–solid interface and will remain spherical. In this way, the NA can be increased by a factor of \( n_{\text{SIL}} \). Moreover, a hemispherical SIL is perfectly achromatic for its center and only slightly chromatic for points in the center’s vicinity. Hemispherical SIL’s have been used to improve the NA of optical systems in microscopy and spectroscopy applications. In addition to a SIL, we use a single-component reflecting objective as a collimating optics for the following reasons. (i) Built from a single piece of fused silica, the single-component reflecting objective possesses superb stability at low temperatures. (ii) It is achromatic since it works with purely reflecting optics. (iii) It has a long working distance of more than 3 mm, thus we can use SILs with diameters of up to 3 mm. Although it has a small numerical aperture (NA, about 0.6), the total NA after taking into account the SIL with \( n_{\text{SIL}} = 2 \) can reach 1.2.

Here, we first characterize the hemispherical solid immersion lens and the single-component reflecting objective using
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**Fig. 1** Scheme of the temperature-cycle method. The evolution of dynamics is allowed or frozen by fast temperature modulations caused by fast intensity modulation of a focused NIR laser beam (785 nm). While the molecule is frozen at the low temperature of the cycle, another laser (at 488 nm) excites fluorescence to probe the states of the molecule optically. By alternatively applying sequences of laser illumination periods, the dynamics of the molecule can be recorded as a series of snapshots.
fluorescent beads. We then apply this optical system for single-molecule temperature-cycle studies on both FRET-labeled polyproline and double-stranded DNA. By simultaneously measuring the fluorescence intensity and polarization while applying temperature cycles, we compare the results on FRET-labeled polyproline and double-stranded DNA.

**Experimental**

The home-built beam-scanning confocal microscope within a cryostat is described in detail in a previous work by Zondervan et al.\textsuperscript{22} A scheme of the optical setup is shown in Fig. 2. A 785 nm continuous-wave (CW) diode laser (TOPTICA Photonics AG) modulated by an acousto-optic-modulator (AOM, AA opto electronic) is employed as the heating source. The heating beam is directed by a near-infrared (NIR) mirror whose orientation can be adjusted mechanically. An aspheric lens is then employed to focus the heating beam on the chromium film by positioning the lens along the vertical direction with a one-dimension piezo stage (ANPz100, Attocube systems AG). The position of the sample–SIL assembly is controlled three-dimensionally with a stack of close-loop piezo stages (ANPx101, ANPz101, Attocube systems AG). The detailed drawing can be found in a previous work.\textsuperscript{22} The excitation beam from a pulsed 488 nm laser (BDL-488, Becker&Hickl GmbH) operating at 50 MHz or at the CW mode is circularly polarized. After a pair of scanning mirrors and a telecentric system, it enters the cryostat from the bottom window. The excitation beam is then focused by the objective–SIL assembly on the sample–SIL interface. The emitted photons are collected by the same objective and directed to the detection path by a beam splitter. After a 488 nm notch filter and a 774 nm shortpass filter, the fluorescence photons are separated by a polarization beam splitter into two paths according to their polarization. Before reaching the avalanche photodiodes (APDs, SPCM-AQR-14, Perkin-Elmer), each of the two polarizations is again divided into two paths according to the wavelength by dichroic mirrors (DM585) and filters (535/50 bandpass filters for donor fluorescence, 630/92 bandpass filters for acceptor fluorescence). In total, the photons are detected in four channels: donor fluorescence of vertical polarization (DV), donor fluorescence of horizontal polarization (DH), acceptor fluorescence of vertical polarization (AV) and acceptor fluorescence of horizontal polarization (AH). The detected fluorescence photons are then recorded by a TCSPC system (TimeHarp200, PicoQuant). A home-built LabView program is used to control the lasers, the AOM and scanning mirrors.

**Materials and methods**

**Characterization of SIL.**

We first use fluorescent beads to characterize the optical system. A suspension of 20 nm fluorescent beads (FluoSpheres, 0.02 μm, yellow-green fluorescent 535/575, Invitrogen) from stock was diluted with MilliQ water and mixed with glycerol in a 1:1 volume ratio. The glycerol suspension was then spin-coated at 6000 rpm for 60 seconds. It yielded a film of about 0.5–2 μm in thickness. A hemispherical SIL (LASF35 glass, \(n_{SIL} = 2.02\), Sandoz Fils SA) of 2.5 mm radius was then contacted to the glycerol film, as shown in Fig. 2. After the sample was inserted into the cryostat, it was dried by alternatingly pumping and flushing with dry helium gas for 30 minutes several times.
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**Fig. 2** Scheme of the experimental setup. The optical paths inside the cryostat are enlarged and shown on the left. The heating laser is at 785 nm, while the excitation laser is at 488 nm. The two laser beams enter the cryostat through two optical windows. They are then focused and overlapped on the same position at the sample. After the fluorescence photons are collected by the objective, they are first split into two branches according to their polarization by a polarization beam splitter (PBS). Afterwards, each of the two paths is split again into two paths according to their wavelengths by dichroic mirrors (DM). After proper filters, they are detected by four APDs. Fluorescence images are acquired by beam scanning using a pair of scanning mirrors.
Afterwards, the sample was kept in a dry and inert helium atmosphere throughout the entire experiment.

Two fluorescence images taken either with the reflecting objective or with the objective–SIL assembly under the same excitation power are shown in Fig. 3(a) and (b). Imaging with the reflecting objective alone, the full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) of fluorescence beads is about 450 nm, as shown in Fig. 3(a). The average fluorescence intensity is 46 photons per 10 ms. While imaging with the objective together with SIL, we observe an average FWHM of about 250 nm and an average fluorescence intensity of 140 photons per 10 ms. They are compared in Fig. 3(c) and (d). The spatial resolution is improved by a factor of 1.8, which is very close to the value we would expect (nSIL = 2.02). The average intensity is improved by a factor of 3. This value, however, is somewhat lower than the expected value of 4.1 (nSIL^2). It can be a consequence of two factors. First, the high-refractive-index SIL is not anti-reflection coated, thus the reflection loss can be significant in both incident and collected light. Taking the reflection loss at the SIL–air interface into account, the expected improvement over collected signals is 3.2, which is close to that we found in our experiment. Second, the distance between the fluorescent beads and the SIL–glycerol interface is not uniform. Thus, it can introduce variations in the collection efficiencies. At the center of the SIL, we can image at least a 20 μm × 20 μm area without apparent distortions. In experiments hereafter, all our measurements are done within this region.

**Single-molecule experiments**

Glycerol was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received without further purification. Polyprolines with 6 residues (Pro6) or 20 residues (Pro20) were labeled at the ends, as shown in Fig. 4(a). Alexa488, the donor molecule, was attached to a cysteine residue at the C-terminus of the peptide chain using maleimide chemistry. The acceptor, Alexa594, was attached to a glycine residue at the N-terminus of the peptide chain using a succinimidyl ester. Both the terminal amino acids of the peptide and the dye linkers are highly flexible. We estimate the end-to-end contour length of the 6-residue polyproline chain to be about 1.8 nm. Double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) molecules with 48 basepairs were labeled with Alexa488 and Atto647N on different strands, as shown in Fig. 4(b). The sequence of one chain is 5'-d(GGA CTA GTC TAG GCG AAC GTT TAA GGC GAT CTC TGA(Alexa488) TTA CAA CTC CGA)-3'. The sequence of the other chain is 5'-d(TCG GAG TTG TAA ACA GAG AT(Atto647N)C GCC TTA AAC GTT CGC CTA GAC TAG TCC)-3'. The bold letters show...
FRET pairs are shown in Fig. 4(c). Absorption and emission spectra of both linker and the internal chemical structure of the dye and center of the chromophore is, thus, given by the length of the diluted to 10^{-3} C_0.

The positions where the fluorophores are attached. The labeling positions of the two fluorophores were separated by 7 basepairs, which corresponds to a distance of 2.5 nm (Fig. 4b). The detailed labeling process was the same as that described in the literature. Briefly, the internal post-labeling of DNA by fluorescent dyes was accomplished by NHS-esters of dyes (Alexa488 (donor) and Atto647N (acceptor)), which react with the amino group of the long flexible “standard” C6 (hexamethylene) “linker” of thymine (5-[aminohexyl]-3-acrylimido)2’-deoxy-uridine. The overall length of the linkage from the attachment point to the center of the chromophore is, thus, given by the length of the linker and the internal chemical structure of the dye and amounts to up to 20 Å. Absorption and emission spectra of both FRET pairs are shown in Fig. 4(c).

Polynucleotide samples labeled with Alexa488 and Alexa594 were diluted to 10^{-12} M in 50 mM phosphate buffer solution, the pH was adjusted to 7.0. dsDNA labeled with Alexa488 and Atto647N was diluted to 10^{-12} M in TRIS (tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane) buffer, which contains 20 mM TRIS, 100 mM NaCl and 10 mM MgCl_2. The pH was adjusted to 7.5. After diluting to desired concentrations in buffer solutions, the sample solutions were mixed with glycerol at a volume ratio of 1:1. The sample solutions were then spin-coated onto glass substrates coated with 50 nm Cr and 50 nm SiO_2. 60 second spin-coating at 6000 rpm resulted in a sample film of about 0.5–2 μm in thickness. After spin-coating, the SIL was contacted to the glycerol–water film. The sample was then mounted onto a three-dimensional piezo-stage and was inserted into the cryostat. Before cooling down, the samples inside the cryostat were dried by pumping and flushing with dry helium gas several times for half an hour at room temperature. Although the sample was covered by the SIL, which limited evaporation of water from the glycerol film, we did not observe any obvious artifacts due to the small amount of water possibly left in the sample. The sample was then kept in an inert and dry helium atmosphere throughout the entire experiment. The samples were cooled directly from room temperature (290 K) to 170 K at a cooling rate of 5 K per hour.

In steady-state measurements, the cryostat temperature was fixed at 170 K, which is about 20 K below the glass transition temperature of glycerol. At this temperature the FRET constructs’ motions are frozen, thus they show almost constant FRET efficiency. The FRET efficiency (E) is calculated from fluorescence time traces using the following equation:

$$E = \frac{n_{AH} + n_{AV} - \langle B_{AH} \rangle - \langle B_{AV} \rangle}{\gamma(n_{DH} + n_{DV} - \langle B_{DH} \rangle - \langle B_{DV} \rangle) + n_{AH} + n_{AV} - \langle B_{AH} \rangle - \langle B_{AV} \rangle}$$

where $n_{AH}$, $n_{AV}$, $n_{DH}$, $n_{DV}$ are the detected fluorescence intensities from the corresponding APDs and $\langle B_{AH} \rangle$, $\langle B_{AV} \rangle$, $\langle B_{DH} \rangle$, $\langle B_{DV} \rangle$ are their corresponding backgrounds. $\gamma = \frac{\Phi_{AH}}{\Phi_{DH}}$ is the correction factor for differences in dye quantum yields ($\Phi_A$, $\Phi_D$) and collection efficiencies ($n_A$, $n_D$). In the current experimental setup, $\gamma = 0.6$ for Alexa488 and Alexa594 while $\gamma = 0.7$ for Alexa488 and Atto647N. The crosstalk from the donor channel to the acceptor channel is less than 10%. Therefore, we only take the FRET efficiency values above 0.15 into account. The donor fluorescence lifetime is measured by deconvoluting the instrumental response function (IRF) from the TCSPC histogram and mono-exponential fitting using a maximum likelihood estimation method. IRF is measured by collecting scattered light from a clean glass coverslide. Linear dichroism (D) is calculated using the equation below:

$$D = \frac{n_{H} - \langle B_{H} \rangle - (n_{V} - \langle B_{V} \rangle)}{n_{H} - \langle B_{H} \rangle + n_{V} - \langle B_{V} \rangle}.$$
previous calibration. In each temperature cycle, the heating beam was applied for 20 μs and the 50 MHz-pulsed excitation beam was applied for 500 ms or CW excitation was applied for 60 ms.

Results

The conformations of FRET constructs are frozen in glycerol at 170 K. Therefore, they show constant signals in both donor and acceptor fluorescence. A typical fluorescence time trace of a Pro6 construct is shown in Fig. 5(a). Although noisy, the fluorescence intensities in donor (light blue) and acceptor (red) channels are almost constant until a sudden drop in both intensities. This digital event is the signature that the fluorescence signal stemmed from a single construct. The single-step drop in fluorescence signals is most likely due to photobleaching of the donor fluorophore. Using average intensities at donor and acceptor channels, we estimate the FRET efficiency of this molecule to be 0.63. The donor fluorescence lifetime decay of the same molecule is shown in Fig. 5(b). Fitting the lifetime decay with a mono-exponential function after deconvolution of the instrumental response function (IRF), we obtain a donor fluorescence lifetime of 2.1 ns.

The correlation between FRET efficiencies and donor fluorescence lifetimes is shown in Fig. 5(c) and (d). In Fig. 5(c), each green spot represents a measurement on a single molecule. The horizontal position corresponds to its donor fluorescence lifetime and the vertical position corresponds to its FRET efficiency. The red line shows the expected correlation between FRET efficiency and donor fluorescence lifetimes, $E = 1 - t_{DA}/t_{D0}$ ($t_{D0} = 3.5$ ns, measured on Alexa488-labeled polyproline). The correlation observed in our measurements on 98 single Pro6 molecules is weak and shows deviations from theory for molecules with high FRET efficiencies. That is probably due to their low donor fluorescence intensities. For this reason, the donor fluorescence lifetimes of molecules with FRET efficiencies above 0.9 are not shown in Fig. 5(c). Similar measurements on Pro20, which has a longer interdye distance, shows less deviations in FRET efficiencies and randomly distributed donor fluorescence lifetimes in Fig. 5(d).

Although the distances between the labeling positions of the donor and acceptor, 1.8 nm for the Pro6 sample and 2.5 nm for the dsDNA sample, are very similar, we observed surprisingly different FRET efficiency distributions at steady state ($T = 170$ K). On the Pro6 sample, a broad FRET efficiency distribution with a significant low-FRET population was found, as shown in Fig. 6(a). This observation is consistent with our previous experiments.

![Fig. 5](a) Typical steady-state fluorescence time traces recorded at 170 K on a single FRET construct (Pro6) under 50 MHz pulsed excitation. Both the donor (light blue) and the acceptor (red) show almost constant fluorescence intensities before bleaching. The intensities yield a FRET efficiency of 0.63. (b) The donor fluorescence lifetime decay (blue circles) of the fluorescence trace in (a) and its mono-exponential fit (red) after deconvolution of the instrument response (black). (c) and (d) Correlation between donor fluorescence lifetimes and FRET efficiencies $E$ measured on single Pro6 and Pro20 molecules. The red line represents the expected correlation expressed in eqn (1), where $t_{D0} = 3.5$ ns.
On the contrary, the dsDNA sample shows a large population at almost unity values, as shown in Fig. 6(b). Despite some population at low-FRET values, this distribution agrees qualitatively with Förster theory’s prediction for short interdye distances. 21 Temperature cycles between 170 K and 290 K were applied on both Pro6 and dsDNA samples. Three reconstructed temperature-cycle traces are shown in Fig. 7 and 8. In Fig. 7(a), a Pro6 molecule experienced more than 700 temperature cycles before bleaching. It showed several transitions among three different FRET states: a dark state (D), a low-FRET state (L) and a high-FRET state (H). We can recognize these three FRET states from the acceptor-donor scatter plot in Fig. 7(b). In the dark state, both the donor and the acceptor remain dark in fluorescence.

The low-FRET state shows high intensities in the donor fluorescence (light blue) and low intensities in the acceptor fluorescence (red). In contrast, the high-FRET state shows a low donor fluorescence intensity but a high acceptor fluorescence intensity. The observation of three states differs from previous reports of two FRET states only (low-FRET and high-FRET) on Pro6 constructs. This may be due to the different temperature-cycle parameters applied during measurements. 26 In this work, we applied temperature cycles to higher temperatures (290 K instead of 250 K) with longer heating durations (20 µs instead of 10 µs). This allows the molecule’s conformation to evolve for longer times at higher temperature. Thus, the transition to a dark state may not have been revealed in that previous study. Moreover, the dark state will not be reflected in the steady-state FRET histogram. The FRET histogram in Fig. 7(c) shows a broad distribution and a major population in low-FRET values.

Fig. 7(d) shows the correlation of successive FRET values (transition mapping), which indicates that the transitions between different states are relatively slow. Besides FRET efficiencies, the donor fluorescence lifetime is also measured for each temperature cycle. The donor fluorescence lifetime trace in Fig. 7(e) shows some weak changes. However, given the limited number of photons in each measurement (on average less than 100 photons, as shown in Fig. 7(f)), the uncertainty due to shot noise is about 0.32 ns. Therefore, to gain more information from lifetime measurements, photon statistics still needs to be improved, for instance by extending the excitation duration in between each temperature cycle. Nevertheless, the FRET histogram and the lifetime histogram shown in Fig. 7(c) and (e) both resemble the principal features of the steady-state distribution of all Pro6 molecules shown in Fig. 5(c).

Under the same temperature cycles, a dsDNA molecule showed reversible transitions between a low-FRET state and a high-FRET state during 3200 temperature cycles without the presence of any dark state, as shown in Fig. 8(a). Intensities of donor and acceptor fluorescence showed anticorrelated changes in the time traces. The acceptor fluorescence intensity occasionally dropped to the background level while donor fluorescence increased to about 15 photons per 10 ms. After 10 fast switches between the low-FRET and high-FRET states, the acceptor bleached. We also observed a recovery in donor fluorescence right after the acceptor bleached. The donor finally bleached after a few dozens more temperature cycles. The switching between \( E = 0.2 \) and \( E = 0.8 \) is also visible in the FRET trace shown in Fig. 8(c). However, another dsDNA molecule showed a different behavior, as shown in Fig. 8(b). During the 2500 temperature-cycles, this dsDNA molecule showed high intensities in acceptor fluorescence before it bleached. Instead of switching between low-FRET and high-FRET states, it showed several different intensity levels in the acceptor fluorescence time trace. Meanwhile, it showed very low intensities in donor fluorescence without much fluctuation (\( E = 0.9 \) in Fig. 8(d)). In the beginning 1500 temperature cycles, the acceptor fluorescence was about 23 photons per 10 ms. It then decreased to around 20 photons per 10 ms and lasted for about 800 temperature-cycles before further decreasing to 10 photons per 10 ms. Shortly, it recovered to 20 photons per 10 ms and bleached. After the acceptor bleached, there was no recovery in donor fluorescence.

Besides FRET efficiencies, orientations of dyes were monitored by their fluorescence linear dichroism. However, in the temperature-cycle measurements on Pro6 and dsDNA, either the donor or the acceptor showed high fluorescence intensities alternately. Thus, it is difficult to follow the orientations of both dyes. Nevertheless, we observed reorientation of the strongly emitting dye in dsDNA because its intensity is relatively constant. Fig. 8(e) shows the linear dichroism trace of the acceptor dye in the dsDNA molecule. The noisy trace shows fluctuations that are larger than shot noise in linear dichroism, indicating changes in the acceptor’s in-plane orientation. It does not show any obvious correlation to the measured FRET efficiencies. To characterize the rotational dynamics, the autocorrelation of the linear dichroism trace is calculated and is shown in Fig. 8(f). It shows an almost flat correlation curve,
thus indicating that a fast rotational dynamics takes place during each temperature cycle. One possible reason is that the temperature-cycle parameters applied here are not optimal for probing rotational dynamics. The other possible reason is the low signal-to-noise ratio of our fluorescence traces. Both of the above can be further optimized in the future by adjusting the temperature-cycle parameters (extending the excitation time or shortening the heating time in each temperature-cycle).

To further verify that molecules can be brought back to motion by applying heating cycles, gold nanorods’ rotational dynamics was studied using similar temperature cycles (see Fig. S1 and S2, ESI†). In this demonstration, a gold nanorod’s rotational diffusion was followed by its photoluminescence linear dichroism while applying temperature cycles which brought it to room temperature for 0.1 ms in each cycle. We found that the photoluminescence signals in the two different
polarizations were strongly anti-correlated, indicating rotational motions of the gold nanorod upon temperature cycles.

Discussion

We have shown single-molecule FRET measurements on polyproline and dsDNA molecules both in steady-state and under temperature cycles. In the following, we first discuss different results of steady-state measurements. Embedded in glassy glycerol, the guest molecules’ conformations are frozen. Thus, their conformational heterogeneity is preserved and can be reflected by FRET measurements. Broad FRET distributions were found in both Pro6 and dsDNA molecules. However, Pro6 molecules showed a major population in low FRET values while dsDNA molecules showed mainly high FRET values. Several possible factors may lead to such different results: (i) differences in chain dynamics of polyproline and dsDNA; (ii) different acceptors used for FRET; (iii) differences in volumes accessible to the dyes. Let us discuss them one by one in the following.

First of all, we comment on the differences in chain dynamics. All-trans polyproline is known to possess a helix structure and is rather rigid.1 Its persistence length is reported to be longer than 5 nm.28 Although cis–trans transitions can take place between proline residues55,56 and lead to a shorter persistence length than that expected for the all-trans conformation,27 these transitions are slow.28,57 The double-helix chain of dsDNA is even more rigid than polyproline chains. It has a stable structure and its persistence length is 50 nm. Both Pro6 and dsDNA used in this work are shorter than their...
We then comment on the different acceptors. Though Pro6 and dsDNA are labeled with different acceptors (Alexa594 and Atto647N), their labeling positions are almost equally separated from that of the donor. In Pro6 molecules, donor and acceptor dyes are labeled at two ends of the peptide chain of 6 residues. The end-to-end contour length of the polyproline chain is about 1.8 nm. Instead of labeling at two ends, dyes are labeled in the middle of the dsDNA chain but with a similar separation of 7 basepairs, which corresponds to about 2.5 nm. Both distances between labeling positions are much shorter than the Förster radii of FRET-pairs (about 5 nm). Hence, we would expect the major population to be at high FRET values for both samples.

The last but the most likely reason for the different FRET distributions found on Pro6 and dsDNA is the difference in the accessible volumes for dyes. As mentioned above, dyes are attached at two ends of the polyproline chain but in the middle of the dsDNA chain. Thus, dyes in different samples can access different volumes as already demonstrated in several simulations and experiments.28,30,31 Attached at the ends of the peptide chain, the dyes in Pro6 can fully explore the volume around it, within the length of the linker.28,31 Limited by both the linkers and double-helix around them, dyes attached on dsDNA have limited access to surroundings30 (Fig. 4b and Fig. S3, ESI†). The long (2 nm) and flexible linkers used in dsDNA constructs yield significant uncertainties in positions of dyes and their quenching environment, as indicated in the overlapping accessible volumes of the donor dye and the acceptor dye shown in Fig. 4b and Fig. S3 (ESI†).

In addition, the dynamics of linkers can be different due to their properties, thus different interactions with the surroundings, which also influence the measured FRET distributions.30

When the dyes are labeled at short separations, they could possibly come very close to each other (even into contact) to allow dye–dye interaction to take place.28,31,37,58 In the molecular dynamics simulation on polyproline labeled with Alexa488 and Alexa594, Best et al. and Hoefling et al. found that the long and flexible linker can bend in and bring the dye very close to the polyproline chain or even close to the other dye.28,31 The presence of such conformations can easily influence the observed FRET distribution of Pro6 by introducing extra interactions (either between dyes and polyproline chain or between dyes) which are not described by Förster theory. In dsDNA, similar dye–dye interactions can also occur,27 but less frequently, because of the extra limitations on dye accessible volumes by DNA structures. Furthermore, variations of measured FRET due to such conformational states strongly depend on the interdye distances. Dye–dye interaction will be less likely to occur if the interdye distance is longer. This is evident from the FRET distribution observed on Pro20, which has a longer interdye distance (about 7 nm). Pro20 showed a steady-state FRET distribution which is in fairly good agreement with that predicted by Förster theory. A similar dependence of dye–dye interaction on the polyproline lengths was also observed in room-temperature measurements in water solution (Fig. S4, ESI†).

Our temperature-cycle measurements on Pro6 revealed three fluorescence states including a dark state, a low-FRET state and a high-FRET state. On dsDNA, a low-FRET state and a high-FRET state were found by applying temperature cycles. In the steady-state measurements, we can readily recognize the low-FRET state and the high-FRET state from FRET histograms of both samples (Fig. 6). However, the temperature cycle measurements on Pro6 revealed a third state in which both the donor and acceptor are dark in fluorescence. This dark state, otherwise, can never be revealed in ensemble-averaged steady-state measurements. Moreover, we observed multiple-level intensities in the acceptor fluorescence in the high-FRET state of dsDNA. The transitions between different FRET states imply conformational changes.

The snapshots with controlled step sizes in temperature cycle experiments may provide more information on the dynamical processes than real-time measurements. Let us first consider the time step of each temperature cycle. The molecule under investigation was brought to 290 K by a 20 μs heating pulse. Neglecting dynamics during the heating time taken to reach the aimed temperature22 (4 μs), the molecule evolves for about 16 μs at 290 K before being again frozen. Taking into account glycerol’s high viscosity59 at this temperature, which is about 1600 times larger than that of water at 290 K, and scaling time with viscosity, this evolution corresponds roughly to about 10 ns in water at room temperature.

What about the dyes’ rotational dynamics during this time? dsDNA samples clearly show complete reorientation of the acceptor from cycle to cycle, as can be seen from the linear dichroism traces in Fig. 8(e). However, rotational times of dyes labeled to dsDNA have been reported to be less than 1 ns in water solution at room temperature.30 Therefore, it is not surprising that temperature cycles equivalent to 10 ns of rotational diffusion in water cannot resolve such fast rotational dynamics. Therefore, temperature cycles are either too long or at a too high temperature to resolve rotational motion on the dye labels. The temperature-cycle parameters were not optimized for that purpose, which would require further investigation.

The rotational dynamics of donor and acceptor dyes influences the FRET efficiency because FRET depends on the relative orientation of the interacting dipoles as well as on the distance between the dipoles. At short interdye distances of 3 nm and 4 nm, we expected to observe mainly FRET values between 0.7 and 1.0 for random dye orientations.2,26,60,61 Therefore, the probability to observe FRET changes induced by dyes’ rotational dynamics will be very low in our Pro6 and dsDNA samples. It also explains why we did not observe any correlation between dye reorientation and measured FRET on dsDNA molecules. Thus, the FRET transitions observed upon temperature cycles are unlikely to be due to rotational motions of dyes.

To address the dynamical origins of the observed FRET states on Pro6 and dsDNA molecules, we compare their timescales in the following. In Pro6, the dark state appeared frequently and occupied almost half the time of the trace. Moreover, the transitions from the dark-state to both high-
FRET and low-FRET states were also observed frequently. Dozens of transitions between the three states took place in 700 temperature-cycles of 20 μs. Furthermore, each state occupied at least several tens (10–100) of snapshots before a transition to a different state occurred. This clearly indicates that the conformation changes inducing the transitions are relatively slow. By the same scaling argument as above, we speculate that this dynamics would correspond to sub-microsecond timescales in water solution. On the contrary, dsDNA molecules were in the high-FRET state most of the time and showed only occasional transitions to the low-FRET state for short times. During 3200 temperature-cycles of 20 μs, ten transitions between high-FRET and low-FRET states took place. We also noted that the low-FRET state in dsDNA was rare and short-lived (less than 50 temperature-cycles of 20 μs). These observations indicate that such transitions between different FRET states happen on a similar timescale in dsDNA, but with a much lower probability.

The difference in timescales of the dark state and low-FRET state between Pro6 and dsDNA is most likely due to the interactions between linkers and biomolecules, as suggested by molecular dynamics simulations in water. Even though the quantitative extent of such interactions is difficult to predict in view of the limitations of the accuracy of current force fields and the large concentration of glycerol in our experiments, the long and flexible linker can bend in and come into contact with polyproline and this process is much slower than the rotational motions of dyes. However, conformations with folded linkers can bring the donor and the acceptor at short distances and influence their interactions.

Moreover, evidence on dye–dye quenching at short dye–dye separations is also found in polyproline and dsDNA samples at room temperature in aqueous solutions. We observed an additional decay component in nanosecond fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS) measurements on Pro6 in aqueous solution at room temperature (Fig. S4, ESI†). This extra correlation decays on a time scale of ~100 ns, which is likely due to static dye–dye quenching at short separations since it is absent in Pro20 samples, where the polyproline helix is too long for the dyes to get into contact. Fitting the FCS data with a model function that takes into account three dynamic components including antibunching, dye–dye quenching and triplet blinking, we found a quenching component of 85 ns. Taking the viscosity difference between glycerol and water into account, the time scale of this correlation agrees with that we found in our temperature-cycle measurements on Pro6 (several tens of snapshots). In addition, specific bunchings in fluorescence autocorrelations at short donor acceptor separations were also noticed in dsDNA samples, as reported by Widengren et al. Hence, the dye–dye interaction due to overlapping of accessible volumes at short dye–dye separations is a logical consequence.

Conclusion

We demonstrate both steady-state and temperature-cycle experiments on Pro6 and dsDNA samples. By applying temperature cycles, we reveal that complex dynamical processes occurred in these molecules, which were used as models to demonstrate FRET as a “spectroscopic ruler”. The multiple FRET states and dynamical transitions between these states show different behaviors in Pro6 and dsDNA samples. Comparing the timescales and probabilities of all these different FRET states, we assign them to conformational heterogeneity that is related to linker dynamics and dye–dye separations. We attribute the multiple FRET states and multi-level intensities to dye–chain interactions, dye–dye interactions at short interdye separations. The temperature cycles can reveal these intermediate states, and provide deeper insight into the dynamics of these constructs than steady-state single-molecule measurements alone.
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Optical alignment of the solid immersion lens.

The alignment of the SIL-objective system is achieved using the patterns (spherical disks/rings) of the back-reflected light on a CCD camera. Adjusting the in-plane position of the sample, on which the SIL is attached, one can achieve a symmetric spherical pattern only when the SIL and objective is well centered. Further approaching the sample towards the objective along the vertical direction will then focus the beam onto the SIL sample interface.
Temperature cycles on gold nanorods in glycerol.

![SEM image of gold nanorods](image)

Fig. S1 SEM image on the gold nanorods (58±7 nm in length and 25±4 nm in diameter).

**Sample preparation:**

Gold nanorods were synthesised through the seed-mediated method[1] using cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) as surfactant. The suspension of nanorods was centrifuged and washed several times to reduce the CTAB concentration to about 100 µM. Then 1 µL nanorod suspension was gradually added into 300 µL glycerol under stirring. Afterwards, the mixture was vortexed for 60 seconds. 100 µL of nanorod glycerol suspension was then dropped onto a clean substrate (Cr and SiO$_2$ coated) and was spin-casted using the same parameters as that used for single-molecule samples (6000 rpm for 90 seconds). A low-temperature objective (NA=0.82) was used in this experiment. A 633nm diode laser was used for excitation. Filters were changed accordingly.

**Results:**

The photoluminescence intensity traces recorded at different polarizations were shown in Fig. S2a. Each data point was taken after a temperature cycle between 228 K and 290 K. The photoluminescence intensities showed strong anti-correlation yielding a dynamic linear dichroism trace as in Fig.S2b, indicating the nanorod's in-plane orientation was evolving upon temperature cycles. However, after about 700 temperature cycles, the nanorod became immobile which is probably due to its attachment onto the substrate. Though the linear dichroism trace is not long enough for an autocorrelation study, we can estimate the average rotational duration to about 150 temperature cycles. Taking into account the 0.1 ms heating duration in each temperature cycle, the rotational time recovered from temperature-cycle measurement corresponds to about 15 ms, which is the expected rotational time for a 25 nm by 58 nm nanorod at 298 K. The expected rotational time for a 25 nm by 58 nm nanorod is 33 ms at 290 K[2], which is about twice slower than the value we measured. The difference may come from the
following several factors. (1) The volume distribution of the nanorods. (2) The measurement uncertainty in our previous heating calibration.[3, 4].

Fig. S2 (a) Photoluminescence trace at two polarizations from a single gold nanorod in glycerol under temperature cycles. The environmental temperature was 228 K. In each temperature cycle, the temperature around the nanorod was brought to 290 K for 0.1 ms. (b) The corresponding linear dichroism trace calculated from the trace shown in (a).

Therefore, by following the rotational dynamics of individual gold nanorods we can confirm that dynamics of objects inside our heating volume indeed evolve in the expected manner upon temperature cycles.
**Accesible volume calculation on the dsDNA sample.**

![Simulated accessible volumes of donor and acceptor dyes](image)

Fig. S3 The simulated accessible volumes of the donor dye (red) and the acceptor dye (green) of a FRET labeled dsDNA construct. The two dyes were labeled at a separation of 7 basepairs. The magenta points represent the volume in which the dye-dye distance is less than 0.5 nm.

A simulation on the accessible volumes of both dyes on a dsDNA construct was also carried out according to the method reported by Sindbert et al.,[5] The result is shown in Figure S3. Though the two dyes are separated with a distance of 7 DNA basepairs, there is a small volume (colored with magenta) in which the two dyes can be less than 0.5 nm to each other. When such short inter-dye separations occur, strong interactions can take place and can influence the FRET observed. Similarly, the very short inter-dye separations can also occur in polyproline constructs but more frequent due to the following several reasons. (1) The labeling positions on a polyproline construct are separated with 6 proline units, which is slightly shorter than that in a dsDNA construct. (2) There is less molecular structure that blocks the two dyes from getting closer to each other in polyproline constructs. Dye-dye interactions are thus likely to be more pronounced for our FRET-labeled polyproline constructs.
Nanosecond fluorescence correlation spectroscopy on Pro6 and Pro20 in aqueous solution at room temperature.

Fig. S4 Nanosecond fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (ns-FCS) data recorded for 1 nM samples of Pro6 (A) and Pro20 (B) peptides terminally (labeled with Alexa 488 (donor) and Alexa 594 (acceptor), Fig. 4) measured in free diffusion in an aqueous buffered solution (50 mM sodium phosphate pH 7.0) with a confocal single-molecule instrument at 295 K (instrumentation and analysis essentially as described previously[6-8]. Shown are the autocorrelations of the acceptor fluorescence emitted upon continuous wave excitation at 488 nm. In both measurements, photon-antibunching (sharp dips around $\tau = 0$) and triplet-blinking (slow decays on the microsecond timescale) are apparent. Most interestingly, however, the Pro6 autocorrelation curve shows a component of positive amplitude decaying on a ~100 ns timescale that is absent in the case of Pro20. Such a component is the expected signature of mutual static contact quenching of the two fluorophores, which in Pro6 are in sufficiently close proximity to form a transient complex given the flexibility of the linkers (Fig. 4a). In Pro20, the dyes are spatially well separated, and interdye-complexes cannot form. The Pro6 correlation data (A) were fit (red curve) with a model function containing three dynamic components (attributed to antibunching, dye-dye quenching, and triplet blinking) of the form

$$G(\tau) = 1 + a \left(1 - e^{-|\tau|/\tau_a}\right) \cdot \left(1 + c_q e^{-|\tau|/\tau_q}\right) \cdot \left(1 + c_T e^{-|\tau|/\tau_T}\right).$$

We find for the quenching component $\tau_q = 85$ ns. With $\tau_q = 1/(k_{on} + k_{off})$ and $c_q = k_{on}/k_{off} = 0.11$,[9] the on- and off-times for dye association and dissociation result as $1/k_{off} = 94$ ns and $1/k_{on} = 0.86 \mu$s, respectively, corresponding to 10% of the population in the state where the dyes are associated. The Pro20 data (B) can be fit well with only two components (antibunching and triplet blinking).


